

**United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge Alexander C. Ekstrom
Yakima**

**USA v. SAMANTHA MARIE
TAINEWASHER**

Case No. 1:21-CR-2029-SAB-1

Yakima Video Conference (ACE @ Richland; Counsel and Defendant @ Yakima)
The Defendant agreed to appear via video conference.

Arraignment on Superseding Indictment:

11/16/2022

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pam Howard, Courtroom Deputy [Y] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Michael Ellis and Timothy Ohms, US Attys |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sara Gore, Courtroom Deputy [S] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Richard Smith and Douglas McKinley, Jr., Defense Attys |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter NOT REQUIRED |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input type="checkbox"/> in custody USM | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant not present / failed to appear |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> out of custody | |

-
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights given | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant continued detained |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conditions of release as previously imposed |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of charging document | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of charging document | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Charging document read in open court | |

REMARKS

Defendant appeared and was assisted by counsel and advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

The Defendant acknowledged to the Court that their true and correct name is: SAMANTHA MARIE TAINEWASHER.

“Not guilty” plea entered.

Discovery to be provided pursuant to the local rule on discovery.

Defense objects to the filing of this Superseding Indictment but will address that via the Motion to Dismiss that is being considered by Chief Judge Bastian after this hearing.

Defense advised and USA concurs, if and when co-defendant is arrested, a no contact order should be entered between the two defendants.

The Court ordered:

1. Conditions of release previously heard and determined. *Pre-existing conditions of release will remain in full force.*
2. As required by Rule 5(f), the United States is ordered to produce all information required by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny. Not doing so in a timely manner may result in sanctions,

including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, and contempt proceedings. Order forthcoming.